

Appl. No. : 10/633,329  
Filed : August 1, 2003

## SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

### Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

A telephonic interview was conducted on September 12, 2007 and attended by Examiner Nasser and Applicants' representative Laura Johnson.

### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

### Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 1, 20, 39, 59, 61 and 62.

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

"The MiniMed Continuous Glucose Monitoring System," Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics, Volume 2, Supplement 1, 2000, Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., pp. S-13 to S-18 ("Mastrototaro"); U.S. Publ. No. 6,558,320 ("Causey").

### Proposed Amendments

None.

### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants' representative argued that Mastrototaro does not disclose each and every element of Claims 1, 20, 39, 59, 61 and 62.

### Results of Interview

Applicants' representative was thankful for Examiner Nasser's indication that Mastrototaro does not anticipate Claims 1, 20, 39, 59, 61 and 62 and that finality would be withdrawn accordingly. Examiner Nasser suggested than an obviousness argument combining Mastrototaro with a real time sensor (e.g., for prospective analysis), such as that of Shin et al. and/or Causey III, et al., should be considered. In response, Applicant argued that it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to take the statistical analysis methods disclosed by Mastrototaro and perform them prospectively. Examiner Nasser requested that Applicant's arguments be put on the record to expedite prosecution. Arguments can be found herein on page 15 as described in association with Claim 62.